

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Jermaine A. Edison, #07820-032,)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 9:16-3447-JFA-BM
)	
Petitioner,)	
)	
v.)	REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
)	
T. Briggs, Warden,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
)	

This action has been filed by the Petitioner, pro se, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241. Petitioner, a federal prisoner serving his sentence at the Federal Correctional Institution (FCI) located in Bennettsville, South Carolina, seeks certain habeas relief.

By Order dated February 1, 2017, Petitioner was given an opportunity to provide the necessary information and paperwork to bring the case into proper form for evaluation and possible service of process. Petitioner was warned that failure to provide the necessary information within the timetable set forth in the Order would subject the case to dismissal. The time to bring this case into proper form has now lapsed, and Petitioner has failed to provide a response to the proper form Order, or to contact the Court in any way. Based on the foregoing, it is recommended that this action be **dismissed, without prejudice**, in accordance with Rule 41, Fed.R.Civ.P. See Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626 (1962); Ballard v. Carlson, 882 F.2d 93, 95-96 (4th Cir. 1989), cert. denied sub nom, Ballard v. Volunteers of America, 493 U.S. 1084 (1990) [holding that district court's dismissal following an explicit and reasonable warning was not an abuse of discretion].

The Clerk shall mail this Report and Recommendation to Petitioner at his last

[Handwritten signature]

known address. If the Petitioner satisfies the requirements for proceeding with this case as is set forth in the proper form Order within the time set forth for filing objections to this Report and Recommendation, the Clerk is directed to vacate this Report and Recommendation and return this file to the undersigned for further handling. However, if Petitioner fails to do so, then at the end of the time for filing objections, the Clerk shall forward this Report and Recommendation to the District Judge for disposition. Ballard v. Carlson, 882 F.2d at 95 [Magistrate Judge's prior explicit warning that a recommendation of dismissal would result from plaintiff failing to obey his order was proper grounds for the district court to dismiss suit when plaintiff did not comply despite warning].¹

The parties are also referred to the Notice Page attached hereto.



Bristow Marchant
United States Magistrate Judge

March 3, 2017
Charleston, South Carolina

¹ After a litigant has received one explicit warning as to the consequences of failing to timely comply with an order of a Magistrate Judge, and has failed to respond to that order, the district court may, under Fed.R.Civ.P. 41(b), dismiss the complaint based upon the litigant's failure to comply with that court order. See Simpson v. Welch, 900 F.2d 33, 35-36 (4th Cir.1990); see also Ballard, 882 F.2d at 95-96 [holding that district court's dismissal following an explicit and reasonable warning was not an abuse of discretion].



Notice of Right to File Objections to Report and Recommendation

The parties are advised that they may file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation with the District Judge. Objections must specifically identify the portions of the Report and Recommendation to which objections are made and the basis for such objections. “[I]n the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must ‘only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation.’” *Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co.*, 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee’s note).

Specific written objections must be filed within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of this Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); *see* Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(a), (d). Filing by mail pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5 may be accomplished by mailing objections to:

Robin L. Blume, Clerk
United States District Court
Post Office Box 835
Charleston, South Carolina 29402

Failure to timely file specific written objections to this Report and Recommendation will result in waiver of the right to appeal from a judgment of the District Court based upon such Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *Wright v. Collins*, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985); *United States v. Schronce*, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).

